

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/420,238	10/19/1999	HIDEKI NISHIMURA	0397-0393P-S	2545
7590 01/20/2004			EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP			QUELER, ADAM M	
P O BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 220400747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TABLE CHOICE	220 1007 17		2178	α
			DATE MAILED: 01/20/2004	† <i>&</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		$\mathbf{\zeta}_{i}$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/420,238	NISHIMURA, HIDEKI				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
The MAN INC. DATE And	Adam M Queler	2178				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 O</u>	<u>ctober 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.	D⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are objected to.	☑ Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Application of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(st sentence of the specification of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120(st sentence of the specification application has been received to priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	con No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. eeived. and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment A filed 10/17/2003.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 6, and 7 are independent claims.
- 3. The rejections of claims 1-7 under § 112, and the objection to claims 2-5 have been withdrawn in view of the Applicant's amendment.
- 4. The previous rejections of claims 1-7 under §102 in view of Driscoll have been withdrawn as necessitated by Applicant's amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vaithyanathan et al. (USPN 5857179 patented 1/5/1999.

Regarding independent claim 6, Vaithyanathan teaches dividing the data based on parameters (col. 2, ll. 28-36). Inherently that data is acquired. Vaithyanathan teaches a partial statistical process for the words in a divided (clustered) section (col. 2, ll. 27-43). Vaithyanathan teaches calculating the importance of the words and deriving a key word (col. 8, ll. 24-44).

Regarding independent claims 1 and 7, the device and the medium for performing the method of claim 6, are rejected under the same rationale.

at Ont. 2178

Page 3

Regarding dependent claim 2, Vaithyanathan discloses using at least a parameter to dived (cluster) the documents (col. 2, 1l. 28-37).

Regarding dependent claim 3, Vaithyanathan discloses a plurality of word count tables (Fig. 6F).

Regarding dependent claim 4, Vaithyanathan discloses driving a keyword for the data that has been divided (col. 8, ll. 24-44).

Regarding dependent claim 5, Vaithyanathan teaches calculating the importance of the words and deriving a key word (col. 8, ll. 24-44). Vaithyanathan also discloses an importance table (Fig. 6f)

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:
 The specific equation used in the invention and claimed in claim 8, is not disclosed nor suggested in the prior art.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2178

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adam M Queler whose telephone number is (703) 308-5213. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather R Herndon can be reached on (703) 308-5186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5631.